

COFREE OBJECTS IN THE CENTRALIZER AND THE CENTER CATEGORIES

ADNAN H. ABDULWAHID

ABSTRACT. We study cocompleteness, co-wellpoweredness and generators in the centralizer category of an object or morphism in a monoidal category, and the center or the weak center of a monoidal category. We explicitly give some answers for when colimits, cocompleteness, co-wellpoweredness and generators in these monoidal categories can be inherited from their base monoidal categories. Most importantly, we investigate cofree objects of comonoids in these monoidal categories.

1. Introduction

Universal properties are significantly considered as one of the most important concepts in mathematics. In deed, they can be thought of as the skeleton of all mathematics concepts. They show how the objects and the morphisms being unified and described compatibly relate the whole category that they live in. Lots of influential concepts, such as kernels, cokernels, products, coproducts, limits, colimits, etc, are essentially involved with universal properties. Perhaps the most important notion concerned with them is the concept of adjoint functors. It is simply because “Adjoint functors arise everywhere” [14, p. (vii)]. Significantly, free and cofree objects play a crucial role in recasting the adjunctions of the forgetful functors in terms of comma categories. For fundamentals concepts and examples of adjoint functors, we refer the reader to [13], [14], [3], [18], [17], or [16]. For the basic notions of comma categories, we refer to [13] and [14].

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a category. A *concrete category* over $\mathfrak{X}$ is a pair $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{U})$, where $\mathfrak{A}$ is a category and $\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a faithful functor [2, p. 61]. Let $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{U})$ be a concrete category over $\mathfrak{X}$. Following [2, p. 140-143], a *free object* over $\mathfrak{X}$ -object X is an $\mathfrak{A}$ -object A such that there exists a *universal arrow* (A, u) over X ; that is, $u : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}A$ such that for every arrow $f : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}B$, there exists a unique morphism $f' : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{U}f'u = f$. We also say that (A, u) is the free object over X . A concrete category $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{U})$ over $\mathfrak{X}$ is said to *have free objects* provided that for each $\mathfrak{X}$ -object X , there exists a universal arrow over X . For example, the category $Vect_{\mathbb{K}}$ of vector spaces over a field $\mathbb{K}$ has free objects. So do the category **Top** of topological spaces and the category of **Grp** of groups. However, some interesting categories do not have free objects [2, p. 142]).

Dually, *co-universal arrows*, *cofree objects* and categories that *have cofree objects* can be defined. For the basic concepts of concrete categories, free objects, and cofree objects, we refer the reader to [12, p. 138-155].

It turns out that a concrete $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{U})$ over $\mathfrak{X}$ has (co)free objects if and only if the functor that builds up (co)free object is a (right) left adjoint to the faithful functor $\mathfrak{U} : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$.

Key words and phrases. center category, centralizer category, cocompleteness, co-wellpoweredness, colimits, generators, braid category, monoid, comonoid, free object, cofree object, colimits.

2015 *Mathematics Subject Classifications.* 18A35, 18A40, 16T15, 16S40.

Although cofree objects are the dual of free objects, the behavior of cofree objects is more complicated than the one of free objects. Furthermore, studying such behavior cannot be obtained by studying free objects because “the categories considered are not selfdual generally” [12, p. 149]. In this paper, we are interested in investigating cofree objects in the centralizer category of an object or morphism in a monoidal category and the center or the weak center of a monoidal category. For the basic notions of monoidal categories, we refer the reader to [8], [4], and [6, Chapter 6].

More recently, these monoidal categories play a vibrant role in characterizing and identifying many of interesting categories. For instance, to show that two finite tensor categories are Morita equivalent, it suffices to show that their centers are equivalent as braided tensor categories [8, p. 222]. Another example is to show that a fusion category is group-theoretical, it is sufficient to show its center contains a Lagrangian subcategory [8, p. 313].

In addition, there is a special importance for the center of a finite tensor category in finding its Frobenius-Perron dimension. This comes from the fact that for any finite tensor category $\mathcal{C}$, we have $FPdim(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) = FPdim(\mathcal{C})^2$ [8, p. 168]. We refer to [11] for basics on centralizer categories while we refer to [21, p. 76] and [8, p. 162] for basics on center categories.

Explicitly, the problem can be formulated as follows. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category. Fix an object X and a morphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$. For any $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$, let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} : CoMon(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the forgetful functor corresponding to $\mathcal{A}$. Does $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ have a right adjoint?

A reasonably expected machinery for the answer of this question is the dual of Special Adjoint Functor Theorem (D-SAFT).

We start our inspection by studying the cocompleteness in $\mathcal{A}$, and we give some answers for the question: under what conditions the colimits of objects in $\mathcal{A}$ can be obtained from the corresponding construction for objects in $\mathcal{C}$. The later implicitly implies that the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is cocontinuous.

Next, we study some conditions that make the co-wellpoweredness of the category $\mathcal{A}$ can be inherited from $\mathcal{C}$.

We also show how the braiding forces the category $\mathcal{A}$ to inherit generators from its base category $\mathcal{C}$.

Finally, we apply the mechanism of D-SAFT for each case. Furthermore, we try to visualize some interesting consequences by studying the braid category.

2. Preliminaries

From now on let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a monoidal category and for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ the functors defined by define the functors

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_X &= X \otimes - : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, M \mapsto X \otimes M, \\ \mathcal{Q}_X &= - \otimes X : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}, M \mapsto M \otimes X.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. [9, p. 148] *If $\mathfrak{A}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and with a generating set, then every cocontinuous functor from $\mathfrak{A}$ to a locally small category has a right adjoint.*

Definition 2.2. [19, p. 284] *The (left) weak center of $\mathcal{C}$ denoted $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ is a category whose objects are pairs (X, σ) in which $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_Y : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ natural in $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and satisfies $\sigma_{Y \otimes Z} = (id_Y \otimes \sigma_Z)(\sigma_Y \otimes id_X)$ for all $Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_{X, I} = id_X$. An arrow $f : (A, \sigma) \rightarrow (B, \tau)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ is an arrow $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagram*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(f \otimes id_X)} & B \otimes X \\ \sigma_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_X \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(id_X \otimes f)} & X \otimes B \end{array}$$

commutes.

The category $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal with

$$(2.2) \quad (A, \sigma) \otimes (B, \tau) = (A \otimes B, \delta),$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad \delta_X : A \otimes B \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes A \otimes B = (\sigma_X \otimes id_B)(id_A \otimes \tau_X).$$

Definition 2.3. [21, p. 76] The center of $\mathcal{C}$ denoted $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a category whose objects are pairs (A, σ) where $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma : A \otimes - \xrightarrow{\sim} - \otimes A$ is a natural isomorphism such that the following conditions hold:

$$(2.4) \quad \sigma_I = id_A$$

(more precisely, σ_I is the composite of the canonical isomorphisms $A \otimes I \cong A \cong I \otimes A$), and

$$(2.5) \quad \sigma_{X \otimes Y} = (id_X \otimes \sigma_Y)(\sigma_X \otimes id_Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

An arrow $f : (A, \sigma) \rightarrow (B, \tau)$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is an arrow $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, the following diagram is commutative

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(f \otimes id_X)} & B \otimes X \\ \sigma_X \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \tau_X \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(id_X \otimes f)} & X \otimes B \end{array}$$

The category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal with:

$$(2.7) \quad (A, \sigma) \otimes (B, \tau) = (A \otimes B, \delta),$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \delta_X = (\sigma_X \otimes id_B)(id_A \otimes \tau_X).$$

The category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is braided via

$$(2.9) \quad \Psi_{(A, \sigma), (B, \tau)} = \sigma_B : (A, \sigma) \otimes (B, \tau) \rightarrow (B, \tau) \otimes (A, \sigma).$$

Remark 2.4. [21, p. 76] The condition 2.4 on objects of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is redundant.

Definition 2.5. [11, p. 46-47] The centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is the category whose objects are pairs (A, α) , where $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha : A \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes A$.

An arrow $f : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ is an arrow $f : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(f \otimes id_X)} & B \otimes X \\ \alpha \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \beta \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(id_X \otimes f)} & X \otimes B \end{array}$$

This becomes a monoidal category with

$$(2.11) \quad (A, \sigma) \otimes (B, \tau) = (A \otimes B, \gamma),$$

where

$$(2.12) \quad \gamma = (\alpha \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes \beta_X).$$

Definition 2.6. [11, p. 49] The centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ of an arrow $h : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$ is the category whose objects are triples (X, α, β) , where $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\alpha : A \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes A, \beta : B \otimes X \xrightarrow{\sim} X \otimes B$ are isomorphisms such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(h \otimes id_X)} & B \otimes X \\ \alpha \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \beta \\ X \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(id_X \otimes h)} & X \otimes B \end{array}$$

An arrow $f : (X, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (Y, \alpha', \beta')$ in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is an arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$(2.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes f) \downarrow & & \downarrow (f \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\alpha']{\sim} & Y \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & X \otimes B \\ (id_B \otimes f) \downarrow & & \downarrow (f \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\beta']{\sim} & Y \otimes B \end{array}$$

Remark 2.7. The category $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ was introduced in [11, p. 49] as an essential part of the proof of lemma 7, and the authors implicitly indicated that it is a monoidal category. For convenience, we explicitly show that the category $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal.

Proposition 2.8. Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. Then the category $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal with

$$(2.15) \quad (X, \alpha, \beta) \otimes (Y, \alpha', \beta') = (X \otimes Y, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}),$$

where $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ are given respectively by the following compositions

$$(2.16) \quad A \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha \otimes id_Y} X \otimes A \otimes Y \xrightarrow[\sim]{id_X \otimes \alpha'} X \otimes Y \otimes A$$

$$(2.17) \quad B \otimes X \otimes Y \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta \otimes id_Y} X \otimes B \otimes Y \xrightarrow[\sim]{id_X \otimes \beta'} X \otimes Y \otimes B$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\beta}(h \otimes id_{X \otimes Y}) &= (id_X \otimes \beta')(\beta \otimes id_Y)(h \otimes id_{X \otimes Y}) \\
&\quad (\text{definition of } \bar{\beta}) \\
&= (id_X \otimes \beta')(\beta \otimes id_Y)(h \otimes id_X \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\otimes \text{ is a bifunctor}) \\
&= (id_X \otimes \beta')(\beta(h \otimes id_X) \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\
&= (id_X \otimes \beta')((id_X \otimes h)\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{since } X \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})) \\
&= (id_X \otimes \beta')(id_X \otimes h \otimes id_Y)(\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\
&= (id_X \otimes \beta'(h \otimes id_Y))(\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\
&= (id_X \otimes (id_Y \otimes h)\alpha')(\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{since } Y \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})) \\
&= (id_X \otimes id_Y \otimes h)(id_X \otimes \alpha')(\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\
&= (id_{X \otimes Y} \otimes h)(id_X \otimes \alpha')(\alpha \otimes id_Y) \\
&\quad (\otimes \text{ is a bifunctor}) \\
&= (id_{X \otimes Y} \otimes h)\bar{\alpha} \\
&\quad (\text{definition of } \bar{\alpha})
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $X \otimes Y \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ and thus the category $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is monoidal. □

Remark 2.9.

- (i) For all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have the following evaluation functor

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_X} \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$$

where $\mathcal{H}_X$ defined by $(A, \sigma) \mapsto (A, \sigma_X)$. It turns out that if $(A, \sigma) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, then $(A, \sigma_X) \in \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$, for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$. However, to show that an object $(A, \sigma) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, by Remark 2.4, it suffices to show that $(A, \sigma_X) \in \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, σ is natural and the condition 2.5 holds as well.

- (ii) For all $A \in \mathcal{C}$, if $(X, \alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}_{id_A}(\mathcal{C})$, then Definition 2.6 implies that $\alpha = \beta$. This gives rise to an isomorphism given by

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{Z}_A(\mathcal{C}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_A} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{T}_A} \end{array} \mathcal{Z}_{id_A}(\mathcal{C})$$

where $\mathcal{S}_A$ is defined by $(X, \alpha, \alpha) \mapsto (X, \alpha^{-1})$, and $\mathcal{T}_A$ is defined by $(X, \alpha) \mapsto (X, \alpha^{-1}, \alpha^{-1})$. Thus, we have $\mathcal{Z}_A(\mathcal{C}) \cong \mathcal{Z}_{id_A}(\mathcal{C})$. It turns out that the centralizer category of an object A in $\mathcal{C}$ can be identified as the centralizer category of the identity morphism of A in $\mathcal{C}$. However, we will explicitly study the centralizer category of an object due to the discussion of part (i).

- (iii) From Definitions 2.3, 2.5, there is an embedding $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$.

3. Cocompleteness

Recall that a category $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete when every functor $\mathfrak{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, with $\mathcal{D}$ a small category has a colimit [5]. For the basic notions of cocomplete categories and examples, we refer to [2], [5], or [20]. A functor is *cocontinuous* if it preserves all small colimits [9, p. 142].

Proposition 3.1. [1, p. 5] *Let $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of comonoids of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the forgetful functor. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, then $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and $\mathcal{U}$ is cocontinuous.*

Proof.

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete category and $h : A \rightarrow B$ an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{P}_J$ and $\mathcal{Q}_J$ are cocontinuous $\forall J \in \{A, B\}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is cocontinuous. Furthermore, the colimit of objects in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ can be obtained by the corresponding construction for objects in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small category, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocomplete category, the functor $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ has a colimit $(C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. Since $\mathcal{P}_A$ is cocontinuous, $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ has a colimit $(\mathcal{P}_A(C), (\mathcal{P}_A(\phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. Equivalently, $(A \otimes C, (id_A \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. First, we note that the functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ assigns to each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ an object $(\mathcal{F}D, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}, \beta_{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$. We also have $\mathcal{F}f : (\mathcal{F}D, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}, \beta_{\mathcal{F}D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}D', \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}, \beta_{\mathcal{F}D'})$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ for every arrow $f : D \rightarrow D'$ in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus, we have the following commutative diagrams:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes D & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}} & D \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes D' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}} & D' \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes D & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta_{\mathcal{F}D}} & D \otimes B \\ (id_B \otimes \mathcal{F}f) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes D' & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta_{\mathcal{F}D'}} & D' \otimes B \end{array}$$

Now consider the following diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & A \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow id_A \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_A \otimes \phi_{D'} & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim \\ & A \otimes C & & & \\ & \downarrow \exists! \bar{\alpha} & & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\phi_D \otimes id_A} & C \otimes A & \xleftarrow{\phi_{D'} \otimes id_A} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes A \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A & & & \swarrow \end{array}$$

For any D in $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{D'} \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} (id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f) &= (\phi_{D'} \otimes id_A) (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad \text{(by 3.1)} \\ &= (\phi_{D'} \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad \text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\phi_D \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad \text{(since } (C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})) \text{ is a cocone on } \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(C \otimes A, ((\phi_D \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D})_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(A \otimes C, (id_A \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique arrow $\bar{\alpha} : A \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes A$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D) = (\phi_D \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}$.

Similarly, since $\mathcal{Q}_A$ is cocontinuous, $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ has a colimit of $(\mathcal{Q}_A(C), (\mathcal{Q}_A(\phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. So $(C \otimes A, (\phi_D \otimes id_A)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$.

Correspondingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (id_A \otimes \phi_{D'}) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}^{-1} (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A) &= (id_A \otimes \phi_{D'}) (id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\
 &\quad \text{(by 3.1)} \\
 &= (id_A \otimes \phi_{D'} \mathcal{F}f) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\
 &\quad \text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\
 &= (id_A \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\
 &\quad \text{(since } (C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})) \text{ is a cocone on } \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F})
 \end{aligned}$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$. Hence, $(A \otimes C, ((id_A \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1})_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(C \otimes A, (\phi_D \otimes id_A)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique arrow $\bar{\alpha}' : C \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes C$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\bar{\alpha}'(\phi_D \otimes id_A) = (id_A \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1}$.

Therefore, we get the following commutative diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{F}D \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes A & & \\
 \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \sim & \searrow \phi_D \otimes id_A & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_A & & \downarrow \sim \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}^{-1} \\
 & C \otimes A & & & \\
 & \downarrow \exists! \bar{\alpha}' & & & \\
 A \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \phi_D} & A \otimes C & \xleftarrow{id_A \otimes \phi_{D'}} & A \otimes \mathcal{F}D' \\
 & \searrow id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f & & & \swarrow
 \end{array}$$

Next, we show that $\bar{\alpha}$ is an invertible arrow. From the commutativity of the diagrams 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D) = (\phi_D \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \Leftrightarrow \bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} = (\phi_D \otimes id_A) \Leftrightarrow \bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}'(\phi_D \otimes id_A) = (\phi_D \otimes id_A).$$

Obviously, $(C \otimes A, (\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}'(\phi_D \otimes id_A))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(C \otimes A, (\phi_D \otimes id_A)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, we have $\bar{\alpha} \bar{\alpha}' = id_{C \otimes A}$.

From the commutativity of the diagrams 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$(id_A \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}'(\phi_D \otimes id_A) \Leftrightarrow (id_A \otimes \phi_D) = \bar{\alpha}'(\phi_D \otimes id_A) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \Leftrightarrow (id_A \otimes \phi_D) = \bar{\alpha}' \bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D).$$

Clearly, $(A \otimes C, (\bar{\alpha}' \bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(A \otimes C, (id_A \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, we have $\bar{\alpha}' \bar{\alpha} = id_{A \otimes C}$. Therefore, the arrow $\bar{\alpha}$ is invertible and $\bar{\alpha}^{-1} = \bar{\alpha}'$.

Replacing the object A by B and following the same strategy we did to get $\bar{\alpha}$, we can similarly get an invertible arrow $\bar{\beta} : B \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sim} C \otimes B$ and the following commutative diagrams

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & B \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow id_B \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_B \otimes \phi_{D'} & \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim & \\ & B \otimes C & & & \\ & \downarrow \exists! \bar{\beta} & & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\phi_D \otimes id_B} & C \otimes B & \xleftarrow{\phi_{D'} \otimes id_B} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes B \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_B & & \swarrow & \end{array}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_B} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes B & & \\ \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \sim & \searrow \phi_D \otimes id_B & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_B & \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D'}^{-1} \sim & \\ & C \otimes B & & & \\ & \downarrow \exists! \bar{\beta}' & & & \\ B \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \phi_D} & B \otimes C & \xleftarrow{id_B \otimes \phi_{D'}} & B \otimes \mathcal{F}D' \\ & \searrow id_B \otimes \mathcal{F}f & & \swarrow & \end{array}$$

To show that $(C, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$, we need to show that the following diagram is commutative.

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes C & \xrightarrow{(h \otimes id_C)} & B \otimes C \\ \bar{\alpha} \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \bar{\beta} \\ C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{(id_C \otimes h)} & C \otimes B \end{array}$$

To show this, consider the following diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & A \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow id_A \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_A \otimes \phi_{D'} & & \downarrow \sim \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \\ & A \otimes C & & & \\ & \swarrow \bar{\alpha} & \searrow h \otimes id_C & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes A & & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes A & & \\ \downarrow \phi_D \otimes id_A & \searrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_A & & \\ & C \otimes A & B \otimes C & & \\ & \swarrow id_C \otimes h & \searrow \bar{\beta} & & \\ & C \otimes B & & & \\ \downarrow \phi_D \otimes h & & \downarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes h & & \end{array}$$

We note that $(C \otimes A, ((id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ since

$$(id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_{D'}) (id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f) = (id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D, \mathcal{F}f) = (id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D)$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D) &= (id_C \otimes h)(\phi_D \otimes id_A)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.2}) \\ &= (\phi_D \otimes h)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\phi_D \otimes id_B)(id_{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes h)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\phi_D \otimes id_B)\beta_{\mathcal{F}D}(h \otimes id_{\mathcal{F}D}) \\ &\quad (\text{since } (\mathcal{F}D, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}, \beta_{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \bar{\beta}(id_B \otimes \phi_D)(h \otimes id_{\mathcal{F}D}) \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.4}) \\ &= \bar{\beta}(h \otimes \phi_D) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= \bar{\beta}(h \otimes id_C)(id_A \otimes \phi_D) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(A \otimes C, (id_A \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, we must have $(id_C \otimes h)\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\beta}(h \otimes id_C)$. Therefore, $(C, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}) \in \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ and ϕ_D is an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \forall D \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, $((C, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{F}$. To show that $((C, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{F}$, let $((C', \lambda, \gamma), (\psi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ be a cocone on $\mathcal{F}$. Since $(C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique morphism $g : C \rightarrow C'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $g\phi_D = \psi_D$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$. The proof is complete whence we show that g is a morphism in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$. Explicitly, we need to show that the diagrams

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes C & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\bar{\alpha}} & C \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes g) \downarrow & & \downarrow (g \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes C' & \xrightarrow[\lambda]{\sim} & C' \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes C & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\bar{\beta}} & C \otimes B \\ (id_B \otimes g) \downarrow & & \downarrow (g \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes C' & \xrightarrow[\gamma]{\sim} & C' \otimes B \end{array}$$

commute. Consider the diagram

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & A \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow id_A \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_A \otimes \phi_{D'} & & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim \\ & A \otimes C & & & \\ & \swarrow \bar{\alpha} & \searrow id_A \otimes g & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes A & & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes A & & \\ \downarrow \phi_D \otimes id_A & \swarrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_A & \searrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_A & & \\ & C \otimes A & & & A \otimes C' \\ & \swarrow g \otimes id_A & \searrow \lambda & & \\ & C' \otimes A & & & \end{array}$$

Notably, $(C' \otimes A, ((g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ since for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

$$(g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_{D'}) (id_A \otimes \mathcal{F}f) = (g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D, \mathcal{F}f) = (g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha}(id_A \otimes \phi_D) &= (g \otimes id_A)(\phi_D \otimes id_A)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.2}) \\ &= (g\phi_D \otimes id_A)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\psi_D \otimes id_A)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{since } g\phi_D = \psi_D, \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \lambda(id_A \otimes \psi_D) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \psi_D \text{ is a morphism in } \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \lambda(id_A \otimes g\phi_D) \\ &\quad (\text{since } g\phi_D = \psi_D, \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \lambda(id_A \otimes g)(id_A \otimes \phi_D) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \end{aligned}$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$. Since $(A \otimes C, (id_A \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, it follows

$$(3.10) \quad (g \otimes id_A)\bar{\alpha} = \lambda(id_A \otimes g).$$

Similarly, replacing A by B and considering the following diagram

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & B \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow id_B \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_B \otimes \phi_{D'} & & \downarrow \beta_{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim \\ & B \otimes C & & & \\ & \swarrow \bar{\beta} & \searrow id_B \otimes g & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes B & & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes B & & \\ \downarrow \phi_D \otimes id_B & \searrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_B & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_B & & \\ & C \otimes B & & & B \otimes C' \\ & \searrow g \otimes id_B & \swarrow \gamma & & \\ & C' \otimes B & & & \end{array}$$

$\psi_D \otimes id_B$ (left curved arrow), $\psi_{D'} \otimes id_B$ (right curved arrow)

gives us

$$(3.12) \quad (g \otimes id_B) \bar{\beta} = \gamma(id_B \otimes g).$$

From 3.10 and 3.12, we have g is a morphism in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, $((C, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{F}$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete category and X an object in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ are cocontinuous, then $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is cocontinuous. Moreover, the colimit of objects in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ can be obtained by the corresponding construction for objects in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small category, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocomplete category, $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$ has a colimit $(C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. Since $\mathcal{P}_X$ is cocontinuous, $(\mathcal{P}_X(C), (\mathcal{P}_X(\phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of the functor $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Thus, $(X \otimes C, (id_X \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$.

First, we note that the functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ assigns to each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ an object $(\mathcal{F}D, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$. In addition, we have $\mathcal{F}f : (\mathcal{F}D, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}D', \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'})$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ for every arrow $f : D \rightarrow D'$ in $\mathcal{D}$. By 2.10, we have the following commutative diagram

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X)} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes X \\ \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \downarrow \sim & & \sim \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \\ X \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{(id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f)} & X \otimes \mathcal{F}D' \end{array}$$

Consider the diagram

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes X & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow \phi_D \otimes id_X & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_X & \downarrow \exists! \mu_X & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim \\ & C \otimes X & & & \\ X \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_X \otimes \phi_D} & X \otimes C & \xleftarrow{id_X \otimes \phi_{D'}} & X \otimes \mathcal{F}D' \\ & \searrow id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f & \swarrow & & \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_X$ is cocontinuous, $(\mathcal{Q}_X(C), (\mathcal{Q}_X(\phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$. So $(C \otimes X, (\phi_D \otimes id_X)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (id_X \otimes \phi_{D'}) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X) &= (id_X \otimes \phi_{D'}) (id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.13}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \phi_{D'} \mathcal{F}f) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{since } (C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})) \text{ is a cocone on } \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$. Hence, $(X \otimes C, ((id_X \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D})_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$. Since $(C \otimes X, (\phi_D \otimes id_X)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique arrow $\mu_X : C \otimes X \rightarrow X \otimes C$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X) = (id_X \otimes \phi_D) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}$.

Similarly, we consider the diagram

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X \otimes \mathcal{F}D & \xrightarrow{id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & X \otimes \mathcal{F}D' & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \sim & \searrow id_X \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow id_X \otimes \phi_{D'} & \downarrow \exists! \nu_X & \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}^{-1} \sim \\ & X \otimes C & & & \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\phi_D \otimes id_X} & C \otimes X & \xleftarrow{\phi_{D'} \otimes id_X} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes X \\ & \searrow \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X & \swarrow & & \end{array}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_{D'} \otimes id_X) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'}^{-1} (id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f) &= (\phi_{D'} \otimes id_X) (\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.13}) \\ &= (\phi_{D'} \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\phi_D \otimes id_X) \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}^{-1} \\ &\quad (\text{since } (C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})) \text{ is a cocone on } \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$. Therefore, $(C \otimes X, ((\phi_D \otimes id_X)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D}^{-1})_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(X \otimes C, (id_X \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique arrow $\nu_X : X \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\nu_X(id_X \otimes \phi_D) = (\phi_D \otimes id_X)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D}^{-1}$.

Next, we show that μ_X is an invertible arrow. From the commutativity of the diagrams 3.14 and 3.15, we have

$$(id_X \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D} = \mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X) \Leftrightarrow (id_X \otimes \phi_D) = \mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow (id_X \otimes \phi_D) = \mu_X \nu_X(id_X \otimes \phi_D).$$

Obviously, $(X \otimes C, (\mu_X \nu_X(id_X \otimes \phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(X \otimes C, (id_X \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, we have $\mu_X \nu_X = id_{X \otimes C}$.

In a similar way, from the commutativity of the diagrams 3.14 and 3.15, we have

$$\nu_X(id_X \otimes \phi_D) = (\phi_D \otimes id_X)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D}^{-1} \Leftrightarrow \nu_X(id_X \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}_D} = (\phi_D \otimes id_X) \Leftrightarrow \nu_X \mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X) = (\phi_D \otimes id_X).$$

Clearly, $(C \otimes X, (\nu_X \mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$. Since $(C \otimes X, (\phi_D \otimes id_X)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, we have $\nu_X \mu_X = id_{C \otimes X}$.

Therefore, the arrow μ_X is invertible and $\mu_X^{-1} = \nu_X$. It follows that $(C, \mu_X) \in \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$, and ϕ_D is an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$, $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$. Hence, $((C, \mu_X), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{F}$.

It remains to show that $((C, \mu_X), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{F}$, let $((C', \eta), (\psi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ be a cocone on $\mathcal{F}$. Since $(C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{F}$, there exists a unique morphism $g : C \rightarrow C'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $g\phi_D = \psi_D$ for every $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

Clearly, all we need is to show that g is a morphism in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, we need to show that the diagram

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mu_X} & X \otimes C \\ (g \otimes id_X) \downarrow & & \downarrow (id_X \otimes g) \\ C' \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\eta_X]{\sim} & X \otimes C' \end{array}$$

commutes.

Consider the following diagram

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes X & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow \phi_D \otimes id_X & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_X & & \downarrow \sim \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D'} \\ & C \otimes X & & & \\ \downarrow id_X \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow \mu_X & \searrow g \otimes id_X & & \downarrow id_X \otimes \phi_{D'} \\ X \otimes \mathcal{F}D & & X \otimes C & \xrightarrow{id_X \otimes \mathcal{F}f} & C' \otimes X \\ & \searrow id_X \otimes g & \swarrow \eta & & \\ & X \otimes C' & & & \end{array}$$

(Note: The diagram also includes curved arrows from $X \otimes \mathcal{F}D$ to $X \otimes C'$ labeled $id_X \otimes \psi_D$ and from $X \otimes \mathcal{F}D'$ to $X \otimes C'$ labeled $id_X \otimes \psi_{D'}$.)

Notably, $(X \otimes C', ((id_X \otimes g)\mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{P}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ since

$$(id_X \otimes g)\mu_X(\phi_{D'} \otimes id_X)(\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X) = (id_X \otimes g)\mu_X(\phi_{D'}, \mathcal{F}f \otimes id_X) = (id_X \otimes g)\mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X)$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} (id_X \otimes g)\mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X) &= (id_X \otimes g)(id_X \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{by 3.14}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes g\phi_D)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \psi_D)\alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{since } g\phi_D = \psi_D, \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \eta(\psi_D \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \psi_D \text{ is a morphism in } \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \eta(g\phi_D \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{since } g\phi_D = \psi_D, \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\ &= \eta(g \otimes id_X)(\phi_D \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \end{aligned}$$

for any D in $\mathcal{D}$.

Since $(C \otimes X, (\phi_D \otimes id_X)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad (id_X \otimes g)\mu_X = \eta(g \otimes id_X).$$

It follows $((C, \mu_X), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{F}$, and this ends the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be monoidal category with $\mathcal{C}$ cocomplete, and let $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ be cocontinuous $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then by Proposition 3.3, $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is cocontinuous for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small category, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor. It follows from Proposition 3.3

that $((C, \mu_X), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{H}_X \mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}_X \mathcal{F}$) for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. It turns out that we get a family of invertible arrows $\{\mu_X\}$, where μ_X is the map in diagram 3.14 for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be monoidal category with $\mathcal{C}$ cocomplete. If P_X, Q_X are cocontinuous $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is cocontinuous. Further, the colimit of objects in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ can be obtained by the corresponding construction for objects in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small category, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ be a functor.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ is a cocomplete category, the functor $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ has a colimit $(C, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. For every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $(P_X(C), (P_X(\phi_D))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of the functor $P_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ because P_X is cocontinuous.

Thus, for every $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $(X \otimes C, (id_X \otimes \phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $P_X \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$.

Further, the functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ assigns to each object $D \in \mathcal{D}$ an object $(\mathcal{F}D, \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$. Also, we have $\mathcal{F}f : (\mathcal{F}D, \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}D', \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D'})$ is an arrow in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ for every arrow $f : D \rightarrow D'$ in $\mathcal{D}$.

By Remark 2.9, we have $((C, \mu_X), (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{H}_X \mathcal{F}$, $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$, and thus, we obtain a family of invertible arrows $\{\mu_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, where μ_X is the map in diagram 3.14 for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Therefore, the proof is complete whence we show that $\{\mu_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ are natural in X , for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and the conditions (2.4) and (2.5) hold.

To show that $\mu : C \otimes - \rightarrow - \otimes C$ is a natural transformation, let $\zeta : A \rightarrow B$ be an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. We need to show that the following diagram is commutative

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes A & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mu_A} & A \otimes C \\ (id_C \otimes \zeta) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\zeta \otimes id_C) \\ C \otimes B & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mu_B} & B \otimes C \end{array}$$


Since $(\mathcal{F}D, \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$, $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$, $\alpha^{\mathcal{F}D}$ is a natural transformation, $\forall D \in \mathcal{D}$. Thus, for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the diagram

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes A & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D}} & A \otimes \mathcal{F}D \\ (id_{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes \zeta) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\zeta \otimes id_{\mathcal{F}D}) \\ \mathcal{F}D \otimes B & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha_B^{\mathcal{F}D}} & B \otimes \mathcal{F}D \end{array}$$

commutes.

Now, consider the following diagram

(3.21)



Clearly, $(B \otimes C, ((\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A(\phi_D \otimes id_A))_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a cocone on $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$ since

$$(\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A(\phi_{D'} \otimes id_A)(\mathcal{F} \otimes id_A) = (\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A(\phi_{D'}, \mathcal{F} \otimes id_A) = (\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A(\phi_D \otimes id_A)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A(\phi_D \otimes id_A) &= (\zeta \otimes id_C)(id_A \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D} \\
 &\text{(by (3.14) taking } A \text{ and } \alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ in place of } X \text{ and } \alpha_X \text{ respectively)} \\
 &= (\zeta id_A \otimes id_C \phi_D)\alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D} \\
 &\text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\
 &= (\zeta \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D} \\
 &= (id_B \otimes \phi_D)(\zeta \otimes id_{\mathcal{F}D})\alpha_A^{\mathcal{F}D} \\
 &\text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\
 &= (id_B \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_B^{\mathcal{F}D}(id_{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes \zeta) \\
 &\text{(since } \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ is a natural transformation, } \forall D \in \mathcal{D}) \\
 &= \mu_B(\phi_D \otimes id_B)(id_{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes \zeta) \\
 &\text{(by (3.14) taking } B \text{ and } \alpha_B^{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ in place of } X \text{ and } \alpha_X \text{ respectively)} \\
 &= \mu_B(\phi_D id_{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_B \zeta) \\
 &\text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\
 &= \mu_B(\phi_D \otimes \zeta) \\
 &= \mu_B(id_C \otimes \zeta)(\phi_D \otimes id_A) \\
 &\text{(naturality of } \otimes)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(C \otimes A, (\phi_D \otimes id_A)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_A \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, it follows that $(\zeta \otimes id_C)\mu_A = \mu_B(id_C \otimes \zeta)$. Hence, $\mu : C \otimes - \longrightarrow - \otimes C$ is a natural transformation.

By Remark 2.9, it remains to show that the condition (2.5) holds. Consider the following diagram

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}D \otimes X \otimes Y & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}f \otimes id_{X \otimes Y}} & \mathcal{F}D' \otimes X \otimes Y & & \\ \downarrow \alpha_{X \otimes Y}^{\mathcal{F}D} \sim & \searrow \phi_D \otimes id_{X \otimes Y} & \swarrow \phi_{D'} \otimes id_{X \otimes Y} & & \downarrow \alpha_{X \otimes Y}^{\mathcal{F}D'} \sim \\ & C \otimes X \otimes Y & & & \\ & \downarrow \mu_X \otimes id_Y & & & \\ & X \otimes C \otimes Y & & & \\ \downarrow id_{X \otimes Y} \otimes \phi_D & \swarrow \mu_{X \otimes Y} & \searrow id_X \otimes \mu_Y & & \downarrow id_{X \otimes Y} \otimes \phi_{D'} \\ & X \otimes Y \otimes C & & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (id_X \otimes \mu_Y)(\mu_X \otimes id_Y)(\phi_D \otimes id_{X \otimes Y}) &= (id_X \otimes \mu_Y)(\mu_X \otimes id_Y)(\phi_D \otimes id_X \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \otimes \text{ is a bifunctor}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \mu_Y)(\mu_X(\phi_D \otimes id_X) \otimes id_Y id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \mu_Y)((id_X \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{by (3.14) taking } \alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ in place of } \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \mu_Y)(id_X \otimes \phi_D \otimes id_Y)(\alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X id_X \otimes \mu_Y(\phi_D \otimes id_Y))(\alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes (id_Y \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_Y^{\mathcal{F}D})(\alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{by taking } Y, \alpha_Y^{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ in (3.14) in place of } X, \alpha_{\mathcal{F}D} \text{ respectively}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes id_Y \otimes \phi_D)(id_X \otimes \alpha_Y^{\mathcal{F}D})(\alpha_X^{\mathcal{F}D} \otimes id_Y) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes id_Y \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_{X \otimes Y}^{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{since } (\mathcal{F}D, \alpha^{\mathcal{F}D}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \\ &= (id_{X \otimes Y} \otimes \phi_D)\alpha_{X \otimes Y}^{\mathcal{F}D} \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \end{aligned}$$

Since $(C \otimes X \otimes Y, (\phi_D \otimes id_{X \otimes Y})_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$ is a colimit of $\mathcal{Q}_{X \otimes Y} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{F}$, it follows that the condition (2.5) is satisfied. Therefore, (C, μ) is a colimit of $\mathcal{F}$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

The following is an immediate consequence of the proof of Proposition 3.5 and Remark 2.9.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a cocomplete category. If $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ are cocontinuous $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is cocontinuous. Moreover, the colimit of objects in $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ can be obtained by the corresponding construction for objects in $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof.

□

4. Co-wellpoweredness

Let $\mathfrak{E}$ be a class of all epimorphisms of a category $\mathfrak{A}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is called *co-wellpowered* provided that no $\mathfrak{A}$ -object has a proper class of pairwise non-isomorphic quotients [2, p. 125]. In other words, for every object the quotients form a set [20, p. 92, 95]. We refer the reader to [2] basics on quotients and co-wellpowered categories.

Proposition 4.1. [1, p. 5] *Let $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ be the category of comonoids of $\mathcal{C}$ and $U : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be the forgetful functor. If $\mathcal{C}$ is co-wellpowered, then so is $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof.

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a co-wellpowered category, and let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{P}_J$ is cocontinuous $\forall J \in \{A, B\}$, then $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ is co-wellpowered.*

Proof. It is enough to show that if $p : (X, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (Y, \alpha', \beta')$ and $q : (X, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (Z, \alpha'', \beta'')$ are in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ and equivalent as epimorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, then they are equivalent (as epimorphisms) in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\theta : Y \rightarrow Z$ be an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ for which $\theta p = q$. We show that θ is in fact an isomorphism in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$.

Since p and q are arrows in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagrams are commutative.

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes p) \downarrow & & \downarrow (p \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha'} & Y \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & X \otimes B \\ (id_B \otimes p) \downarrow & & \downarrow (p \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta'} & Y \otimes B \end{array}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes q) \downarrow & & \downarrow (q \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes Z & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha''} & Z \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & X \otimes B \\ (id_B \otimes q) \downarrow & & \downarrow (q \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes Z & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta''} & Z \otimes B \end{array}$$

Consider the following diagrams:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes p) \downarrow & & \downarrow (p \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha'} & Y \otimes A \\ (id_A \otimes \theta) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\theta \otimes id_A) \\ A \otimes Z & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha''} & Z \otimes A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \end{array}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes B \\ \downarrow (id_B \otimes p) & & \downarrow (p \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes Y & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha'} & Y \otimes B \\ \downarrow (id_B \otimes \theta) & & \downarrow (\theta \otimes id_B) \\ B \otimes Z & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha''} & Z \otimes B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{curved arrow } (id_B \otimes q) \text{ from } B \otimes X \text{ to } B \otimes Z \\ \text{curved arrow } (q \otimes id_B) \text{ from } X \otimes B \text{ to } Z \otimes B \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha''(id_A \otimes \theta)(id_A \otimes p) &= \alpha''(id_A \otimes \theta p) \\ &\quad \text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= \alpha''(id_A \otimes q) \\ &\quad \text{(since } \theta p = q) \\ &= (q \otimes id_A)\alpha \\ &\quad \text{(by (4.2))} \\ &= (\theta p \otimes id_A)\alpha \\ &\quad \text{(since } \theta p = q) \\ &= (\theta \otimes id_A)(p \otimes id_A)\alpha \\ &\quad \text{(naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (\theta \otimes id_A)\alpha'(id_A \otimes p) \\ &\quad \text{(by (4.1))} \end{aligned}$$

Since P_A is cocontinuous, it preserves epimorphisms [14, p. 72]. Hence, $P_A(p) = (id_A \otimes p)$ is an epimorphism. Thus, $\alpha''(id_A \otimes \theta) = (\theta \otimes id_A)\alpha'$. Similarly, from diagram (4.4), we get $\alpha''(id_B \otimes \theta) = (\theta \otimes id_B)\alpha'$. Therefore, θ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a co-wellpowered category and X an object in $\mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{Q}_X$ is cocontinuous, then $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ is co-wellpowered.*

Proof. As in Proposition 4.2, it suffices to show that if $p : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (B, \beta)$ and $q : (A, \alpha) \rightarrow (B', \beta')$ are in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ and equivalent as epimorphisms in $\mathcal{C}$, then they are equivalent (as epimorphisms) in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$. Let $\theta : B \rightarrow B'$ be an isomorphism in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\theta p = q$. We show that θ is in fact an isomorphism in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$.

Since p and q are arrows in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagrams are commutative.

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (p \otimes id_X) \downarrow & & \downarrow (id_X \otimes p) \\ B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & X \otimes B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ (q \otimes id_X) \downarrow & & \downarrow (id_X \otimes q) \\ B' \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta'} & X \otimes B' \end{array}$$

Consider the following diagram

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\alpha} & X \otimes A \\ \downarrow (p \otimes id_X) & & \downarrow (id_X \otimes p) \\ B \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta} & X \otimes B \\ \downarrow (\theta \otimes id_X) & & \downarrow (id_X \otimes \theta) \\ B' \otimes X & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\beta'} & X \otimes B' \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright (q \otimes id_X) \\ \curvearrowright (id_X \otimes q) \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'(\theta \otimes id_X)(p \otimes id_X) &= \beta'(\theta p \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= \beta'(q \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{since } \theta p = q) \\ &= (id_X \otimes q)\alpha \\ &\quad (\text{by (4.5)}) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \theta p)\alpha \\ &\quad (\text{since } \theta p = q) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \theta)(id_X \otimes p)\alpha \\ &\quad (\text{naturality of } \otimes) \\ &= (id_X \otimes \theta)\beta(p \otimes id_X) \\ &\quad (\text{by (4.5)}) \end{aligned}$$

Since Q_X is cocontinuous, it preserves epimorphisms. Hence, $Q_X(p) = (p \otimes id_X)$ is an epimorphism. Thus, $\beta'(\theta \otimes id_X) = (id_X \otimes \theta)\beta$. Therefore, θ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$, and the proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a co-wellpowered category. If Q_X is cocontinuous, $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})$ are co-wellpowered.*

Proof. This immediately follows from the proof of Proposition 4.3 and Remark 2.9. $\square$

5. Generators

Following [14, p. 127], a set $\mathcal{G}$ of objects of the category $\mathcal{C}$ is said to *generate* $\mathcal{C}$ when any parallel pair $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$ of arrows of $\mathcal{C}$, $f \neq g$ implies that there is an $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and an arrow $\alpha : G \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $f\alpha \neq g\alpha$ (the term “generates” is well established but poorly chosen; “*separates*” would have been better). For the basic concepts of generating sets, we refer to [14], [2], or [9].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a monoidal category with a generating set $\mathcal{G}$. Fix an object X and a morphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$. Our inspection in the previous sections gives rise to the following question. When can the category $\mathcal{A}$ inherit a generating set involved with $\mathcal{G}$ from $\mathcal{C}$?

Under the assumption above, let $f, g : Z \rightarrow W$ be any parallel pair of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ with $f \neq g$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}$, there is an $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and an arrow $\alpha : G \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $f\alpha \neq g\alpha$. Now, if we want to show that $\mathcal{A}$ has a generating set $\mathcal{G}$ whose underlying is $\mathcal{G}$, we need to show that $G \in \mathcal{A}$ and the morphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{A}$. Although, this is not true in general, it

perfectly works when $\mathcal{C}$ is a braided. For the basic notions of braided monoidal categories, we refer to [21], [10] and [15].

It turns out that we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided monoidal category with a braiding Ψ , and let $\mathcal{G}$ be a generating set for $\mathcal{C}$. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$. The category $\mathcal{A}$ has a generating set.*

Proof. Consider the following diagram

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) & & \\ & & \uparrow \Phi_1 & & \\ \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}) & \xleftarrow{\Phi_2} & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_3} & \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}) \\ & & \downarrow \Phi_4 & & \\ & & \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C}) & & \end{array}$$

It is well-known that there is an embedding $\Phi_1 : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ via $W \mapsto (W, \Psi_{W,-})$ [7, p. 264].

Define the functors

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 : \mathcal{C} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), W \mapsto (W, \Psi_{W,X}), \\ \Phi_3 : \mathcal{C} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), W \mapsto (W, \Psi_{A,W}, \Psi_{B,W}), \\ \Phi_4 : \mathcal{C} &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C}), W \mapsto (W, \Psi_{W,-}). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, Φ_i is embedding for all $i = 2, 3, 4$. Therefore, the category $\mathcal{C}$ can be viewed as a subcategory of the category $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$.

Now, let $f, g : Z \rightarrow W$ be any parallel pair of morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ with $f \neq g$. Since $\mathcal{G}$ is a generating set for $\mathcal{C}$, there is an $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and an arrow $\alpha : G \rightarrow X$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $f\alpha \neq g\alpha$. From the diagram 5.1, we have $G \in \mathcal{A}$, and the morphism $\alpha : G \rightarrow X$ is in $\mathcal{A}$. Thus, for every $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$, $\mathcal{A}$ has a generating set $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{A}}$ whose underlying is $\mathcal{G}$. □

The following assertion is important in characterizing the cofree objects in $CoMon(\mathcal{A})$, for all $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a braided monoidal category. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$. If the (monoidal) category $CoMon(\mathcal{C})$ has a generating set, then the category $CoMon(\mathcal{A})$ has a generating set.*

Proof. □

6. Investigating Cofree Objects

In this section, we use Theorem 2.1 and Propositions 3.1, 4.1, and the consequences we have to show that the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}})$ has cofree objects, $\forall \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})\}$.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $h : A \rightarrow B$ be an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ be the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{Q}_J$ cocontinuous $\forall J \in \{A, B\}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C}))$ has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ has a right adjoint or, equivalently, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ has cofree objects.*

Proof. This immediately follows from Propositions 3.1, 3.2, 4.2 and Theorem 2.1. $\square$

Corollary 6.2. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a braided monoidal category and $h : A \rightarrow B$ an arrow in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})$ be the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{P}_J, \mathcal{Q}_J$ be cocontinuous $\forall J \in \{A, B\}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ has a right adjoint or equivalently, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ has cofree objects.*

Proof. It is immediate from Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 5.2. $\square$

Similarly, the following are immediate consequences of Propositions 3.1, 3.3, 4.3 and Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 6.3. *Let X be an object in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ be the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ cocontinuous. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C}))$ has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ has a right adjoint, hence, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ has cofree objects.*

Proof. $\square$

Corollary 6.4. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a braided monoidal category and X an object in $\mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})$ be the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ be cocontinuous. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ has a right adjoint, hence, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ has cofree objects.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 6.3 and Corollary 5.2. $\square$

By Propositions 3.1, 3.5, Corollary 4.4 and Theorem 2.1, we have the following version for the existence of cofree objects in the monoidal center.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}' : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$) be the forgetful functor, and let $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ be cocontinuous $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ (resp. $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C}))$) has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}'$) has a right adjoint. It turns out that, equivalently, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ (resp. $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U}')$) has cofree objects.*

Proof. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$ be a braided monoidal category and $\mathcal{U} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}' : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$) the forgetful functor, and let $\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{Q}_X$ be cocontinuous $\forall X \in \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered and if $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{C})$ has a generating set, then $\mathcal{U}$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}'$) has a right adjoint. It turns out that, equivalently, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U})$ (resp. $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{C})), \mathcal{U}')$) has cofree objects.*

Proof. The required statement follows from Theorem 6.5 and Corollary 5.2. $\square$

Example 6.7. Following [21, p. 69-70], the braid category $\mathcal{B}$ has as objects the natural numbers $0, 1, 2, \dots$ and as arrows $\alpha : n \rightarrow n$ the braids on n strings; there are no arrows $n \rightarrow n$ for $m \neq n$.

A braid α on n strings can be regarded as an element of the Artin braid group $\mathcal{B}_n$ with generators $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_i s_j &= s_j s_i, \text{ for } j < i - 1 \\ s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} &= s_i s_{i+1} s_i \end{aligned}$$

Composition of braids is just multiplication in this group, represented diagrammatically by vertical stacking of braids with the same number of strings.

Tensor product of braids adds the number of strings by placing one braid next to the other longitudinally.

This makes $\mathcal{B}$ a strict monoidal category. A braiding $c_{m,n} : m + n \rightarrow n + m$ is given by crossing the first m strings over the remaining n .

Then $\mathcal{B}$ is braided monoidal category. Indeed, it is a balanced monoidal category. To see how the braid s_i , the composition of braids, tensor product of braids and the braiding $c_{m,n}$ can be depicted, we refer the reader to [21, p. 69-70].

Proposition 6.8. The category $\mathcal{B}$ is not cocomplete.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a small category, and let $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. By the way of contradiction, let $\mathcal{B}$ be a cocomplete category. It follows that $\mathcal{F}$ has a colimit $(t, (\phi_D)_{D \in \mathcal{D}})$. The definition of $\mathcal{B}$ implies that $\mathcal{F}D = t$, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$. In particular, we have $\mathcal{F}$ is a constant functor, for every functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{D}$ a small category. It is clear that this is a contradiction because we can always define a nonconstant functor from a small category to $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, the category $\mathcal{B}$ is not cocomplete. □

Theorem 6.9. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\mathcal{B}$. We have

$$\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \end{array}, \quad \text{for all } \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{B})\},$$

where $\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \bullet \end{array}$, is the category with one object and one (identity) arrow.

Proof. We prove the theorem for $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$, and the rest can be proved similarly. Let $((m, \sigma), \Delta, \epsilon)$ be a comonoid in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ with a comultiplication $\Delta : m \rightarrow m + m$ and a counit $\epsilon : m \rightarrow 0$. The definition of the category $\mathcal{B}$ implies that $m = 0$, $\Delta = id_0 = \epsilon$, and $\sigma : 0 + - \rightarrow - + 0$ with $\sigma_n = id_n$, for every natural number n . Thus, the category $\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}))$ consists of one object $((0, \sigma), id_0, id_0)$, where $\sigma : 0 + - \rightarrow - + 0$ is the trivial natural isomorphism with $\sigma_n = id_n$, for every natural number n . □

Theorem 6.10. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\mathcal{B}$. The forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{CoMon}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ has a right adjoint $\forall \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{B})\}$, and thus, equivalently, the concrete category $(\text{CoMon}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}})$ has cofree objects.

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 6.9 that $CoMon(\mathcal{A})$ is cocomplete, co-wellpowered, and with a generating set. Thus, using Theorem 2.1 completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 6.11. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\mathcal{B}$. It follows from Theorem 6.10 that the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} : CoMon(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ has a right adjoint $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow CoMon(\mathcal{A}) \forall \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{B})\}$. Theorem 6.10, furthermore, implies that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow CoMon(\mathcal{A})$ is a constant functor $\forall \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{B})\}$. Therefore, $\forall \mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\mathcal{B})\}$, all the objects in the category $\mathcal{A}$ have the same corresponding cofree object.

Example 6.12. Following [21, p. 74-75], the monoidal category $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined similarly to $\mathcal{B}$, except that the arrows are braids on ribbons (instead of on strings) and it is permissible to twist the ribbons through full 2π turns.

The homsets $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(n, n) = \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n$ are groups under composition. A presentation of this group $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_n$ is given by generators $s_1, \dots, s_n$ where $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ satisfy the relations as for $\mathcal{B}_n$. These are depicted by thickened versions of the diagrams in Example 6.7, along with the extra relation

$$s_{n-1}s_ns_{n-1}s_n = s_ns_{n-1}s_ns_{n-1}$$

Composition in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is vertical stacking of diagrams, and tensor product for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is horizontal placement of diagrams, much as for $\mathcal{B}$. The braiding $c_{m,n} : m + n \rightarrow n + m$ for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is obtained by placing the first m ribbons over the remaining n without introducing any twists. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is a braided monoidal category. Indeed, it is a balanced monoidal category. To see how s_n and the braiding $c_{m,n}$ can be visualized, we refer the reader to [21, p. 74-75].

The identification of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is similar to that of $\mathcal{B}$, and Proposition 6.8 and Theorems 6.9, 6.10 imply the following consequences.

Proposition 6.13. Fix an object X and a morphism h in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$. For all $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})\}$, let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}} : CoMon(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the forgetful functor. We have the following:

(i) The category $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is not cocomplete.

(ii)

$$CoMon(\mathcal{A}) \cong \text{!}.$$

(iii) For all $\mathcal{A} \in \{\mathcal{Z}_h(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}_X(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}), \mathcal{Z}_\omega(\tilde{\mathcal{B}})\}$, the functor $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}}$ has a right adjoint, and thus, equivalently, the concrete category $(CoMon(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{A}})$ has cofree objects.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank my advisor Prof. Miodrag Iovanov for his support and his suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Adnan H. Abdulwahid, Miodrag C. Iovanov, *Generators for Comonoids and Universal Constructions*. Arch. Math. 106 (2016), 21-33, © 2015 Springer International Publishing, published online December 11, 2015, DOI 10.1007/s00013-015-0826-6.
- [2] J. Adamek, H. Herrlich, G. Strecker. *Abstract and Concrete Categories: The Joy of Cats*. Dover Publication. 2009.

- [3] Steve Awodey. *Category Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2nd ed., 2010.
- [4] B. Bakalov, A. Kirillov Jr.. *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functor*. AMS University Lecture Series, 2001, 221 pp.
- [5] F. Borceux. *Handbook of Categorical Algebra 1: Basic Category Theory*. Cambridge University Press. 1994.
- [6] F. Borceux. *Handbook of Categorical Algebra 2: Categories and Structures*. Cambridge University Press. 1994.
- [7] A. Davydov, D. Nikshych. *The Picard Crossed Module of a Braided Tensor Category*. Algebra and Number Theory 7, no. 6, 13651403. 2013.
- [8] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, Victor Ostrik. *Tensor Categories*. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 205, American Mathematical Society, 2015.
- [9] P.J. Freyd, A. Scedrov. *Categories, Allegories*. Elsevier Science Publishing Company, Inc. 1990.
- [10] A. Joyal, R. Street. *Braided Tensor Categories*. Advances in Math. 102, 20-78, 1993.
- [11] A. Joyal, R. Street. *Tortile Yang-Baxter Operators in Tensor Categories*. of Pure and Applied Algebra 71, no.1, 4351. 1991.
- [12] Mati Kilp, Ulrich Knauer, Alexander V. Mikhalev . *Monoids, Acts, and Categories: With Applications to Wreath Products and Graphs*. De Gruyter exposition in math., Berlin 29. 2000.
- [13] Tom Leinster. *Basic Category Theory*. volume 298 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [14] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2nd ed., 1998.
- [15] S. Majid. *Quantum Double for Quasi-Hopf Algebras*, Lett. Math. Phys. 45, 1-9, 1998.
- [16] B. Mitchell. *Theory of Categories*. Academic Press, 1965.
- [17] B. Pareigis. *Categories and Functors*. Academic Press, 1971.
- [18] Joseph J. Rotman. *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*. 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [19] P. Schauenburg. *Duals and Doubles of Quantum Groupoids ($\times_R$ -bialgebras)*, in New Trends in Hopf Algebra Theory (N. Andruskiewitsch, W. R. Ferrer Santos, and H.-J. Schneider, eds.), Contemp. Math. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 273299. 2000.
- [20] H. Schubert. *Categories*. Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [21] Ross Street. *Quantum Groups: a path to current algebra*. Number 19 in Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series. Cambridge University Press, 2007.

ADNAN HASHIM ABDULWAHID
 UNIVERSITY OF IOWA,
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MACLEAN HALL
 IOWA CITY, IA, USA
E-mail address : adnan-al-khafaji@uiowa.edu
adnanalgebra@gmail.com